

Strategies to Protect Your Organization

Mastering Mailflow

20 february 2026

CUSTOMER SUCCESS

CO-CREATE

AMBITIOUS

EXPERT

INNOVATE

Rubicon

Agenda

- | Mail authentication
- | Security
- | Delegation
- | Tips

Who am I?

Dave Stork

Cloud Architect @ Rubicon BV The Netherlands

Microsoft MVP M365 Apps & Services since 2014

Microsoft Certified Trainer since 2015

d.stork@rubicon.nl

<https://bsky.app/profile/davestork.nl>

[@dmstork@mastodon.social](https://mastodon.social/@dmstork)



<https://about.me/dmstork>

Microsoft Partner

Rubicon

Mail authentication

CUSTOMER SUCCESS

CO-CREATE

AMBITIOUS

EXPERT

INNOVATE

Rubicon

But first...

Note the difference

Inbound mail

From external sources “the evil internet”

Your environment needs to check mail

Outbound mail

Mail sent from your organization to external recipients

You are responsible to enable external recipients to verify it

Internal mail

Can include external SaaS applications

Might depend on delivery method; the system might consider this inbound mail

Sender IP

RFC5221.From
P1

RFC5222.From
P2

Data



```
Telnet l03-ex01
220 l03-ex01.lab03.com Microsoft ESMTP MAIL Service ready at Mon, 28 Jun 2021 18:28:37 +0200
250-l03-ex01.lab03.com Hello [10.0.3.25]
250-SIZE 37748736
250-PIPELINING
250-DSN
250-ENHANCEDSTATUSCODES
250-X-ANONYMOUSTLS
250-AUTH NTLM
250-X-EXPS GSSAPI NTLM
250-8BITMIME
250-BINARYMIME
250-CHUNKING
250 XRDST
mail from: external@mail.com
250 2.1.0 Sender OK
rcpt to: administrator@lab03.com
250 2.1.5 Recipient OK
data
354 Start mail input; end with <CRLF><CRLF>
from: external@mail.com
subject: Telnet test mail
and more data
and more data
this is the body of the mail.
the end
-
```

Spoofable!

Proving the mail is actually from your organization

Mail Authentication

SPF - Sender Policy Framework

List of FQDNs & IP Addresses containing allowed sending servers

DKIM - Domain Key Identified Mail

Signature of mail headers and body, including which selector

Public key in DNS in signing domain

DMARC - Domain-based Message Authentication, Reporting and Conformance

Signals to recipient on how to handle authentication failures

Are both From addresses similar, does SPF or DKIM fail

Mail address for sending (aggregate) reports (zipped & XML)

Forwarding mail breaks but has valid use cases (mailing lists)

Repairing forwarding

SRS - Sender Rewriting Scheme

Rewrites the RFC5221.From address (P1)

Corrects SPF failures

Does NOT correct DMARC failures

ARC - Authenticated Received Chain

Adds ARC signature based on authentication results when receive before forwarding

Receiving organization must trust ARC domain

This does account for DMARC failures due forwarding

Security

CUSTOMER SUCCESS

CO-CREATE

AMBITIOUS

EXPERT

INNOVATE

Rubicon

Encrypted transport between two organizations

Transport Security

Opportunistic TLS

Negotiations at connection and highest possible security will be used

Fallback to weaker or no encryption

Valid for each domain

Forced TLS

Same as opportunistic but no fallback to weak or no encryption

Not RFC compliant for receiving organizations. Outbound is your prerogative.

Mandatory or Partner TLS

No negotiations and no fallback

Often configuration per mail domain required

Microsoft Partner

Rubicon

Encrypted transport between two organizations

Transport Security

MTA-STS - MTA Strict Transport Security

DNS record to signal MTA-STS use; hardcoded HTTPS site with policy file

Policy file contains FQDN of receiving server that must match CN certificate

Trust at first connect. Will not connect when server FQDN is not in policy file

DANE - DNS-based Authentication of Named Entities

Requires DNSSEC to ensure trust

Uses TLSA DNS record to validate transport certificate presented by receiving server

No per domain security required

Priority within Exchange Online is:

First attempt DANE, then MTA-STS and finally Opportunistic (or Forced) TLS

Mandatory TLS connection overrides all because it's domain specific

How do you protect messages themselves?

Message Security

Client S/MIME - Secure/Multipurpose internet Mail Extensions (similar PGP/GPG)

Message encryption at client and open standard

Requires certificate exchange between sender & receiver + config at each client

Breaks filtering

Gateway S/MIME

Message encryption at egress point/ mail gateway of organization

Dependency on gateway

Configuration on both organization required

Microsoft Purview Message Encryption & IRM

Does not break filtering

Manual or organization trigger

Can be used with any external recipient (ME) without preconfiguration

Provides granular usage restrictions (IRM)

Microsoft Partner

Rubicon

Some basic and helpful facts and tips

Defender filtering

Defender for Office 365 Plan 1 vs Plan 2

Plan 1 is IMHO minimum with Safe Links, Impersonation protection etc.

Plan 2 is for more in-depth hunting and investigations

- | Explorer as more flexible Message Trace

Configuration

Follow either standard or strict recommendations with your own customizations

Validate with ORCA or Configuration analyzer

Some gotcha's:

Users can be blocked if they cross outbound spam threshold

- | send-as mail also count towards delegate not shared mailbox

Not all default quarantine policies notify of any quarantined mail

Shared Mailbox quarantine access requires filtering by user

When you have services in front of Exchange Online


Enhanced filtering

Enhanced filtering or skip-listing

Used with hybrid on-prem Exchange, third party filtering services or appliances

Identify correct "Original Sender IP"

Crucial for increased correct assessment of mail authentication



Mail delegation

CUSTOMER SUCCESS

CO-CREATE

AMBITIOUS

EXPERT

INNOVATE

Rubicon

Sending mail from a third-party application

Mail delegation to Exchange Online

Client Submission

- | User account authenticates and sends mail to internal and external recipients
- | Uses Exchange Online configuration
- | Note: Basic Authentication deprecated

SMTP Relay

- | No user required, but sends mail to internal and external recipients
- | Requires addition of static IP in SPF
- | Inbound Connector accepting from sending IP

Direct Send

- | Seen as if your device\app is external sender (e.g. anonymous mail)
- | Requires addition of static IP in SPF
- | No sending to external recipients (no open relay)

Sending mail from a third-party application

Relaxed vs Strict alignment

Relaxed alignment

- | Default setting DMARC policy
- | Any subdomain is equal to organization domain:
 - | sub.contoso.com EQUALS contoso.com

Strict alignment

- | Enforced for SPF and or DKIM
 - | sub.contoso.com NOT EQUALS contoso.com

Be aware

- | Strict alignment: many MTA services may prevent this. Use different domain
- | If relaxed alignment is good enough, use subdomain.

Sending mail from a third-party application

Mail delegation with HVE

High Volume Email (HVE) - Public preview

- | Client submission directly into Exchange Online
- | Special account and license within Exchange Online
- | Still supports Basic Authentication on smtp-hve.office365.com
- | Can be used by third-party applications (Conditional Access policy!)
- | Intended for internal bulk mailing

Sending mail from a third-party application

Mail delegation with ACS

Azure Communication Services (ACS)

- | Mail relay service based in Azure and subscription based
- | Requires configuration independent of Microsoft 365
- | Does support both P1 & P2 from domain (e.g strict alignment possible!)
- | Supports Client Submission Basic Auth on smtp.azurecomm.net
- | Supports automation
- | Intended for internal and external bulk mailing

Final and best tip

CUSTOMER SUCCESS

CO-CREATE

AMBITIOUS

EXPERT

INNOVATE

Rubicon

Do not use mail!

If there are any other solution to transport information, use it!

Mail is not designed with security in mind

Scan to mail features: additional software can drop scanned files directly in OneDrive.
APIs are more flexible and safer.

Push your software manufacturer to adopt more modern methods
MS Graph and NOT EWS!

Questions?

CUSTOMER SUCCESS

CO-CREATE

AMBITIOUS

EXPERT

INNOVATE

Rubicon

Please give your feedback



Mastering Mailflow: Strategies to Protect Your Organization

Microsoft Partner

Rubicon

Solutions built on and born in the cloud



Leusderend 36 | 3832 RC Leusden
088 240 73 65 | info@rubicon.nl | rubicon.nl

Rubicon

SPF flow

Sender
Contoso.com



Receiver
Fabrikam.com

Sends mail from 10.20.30.40/32
with adres mail@contoso.com

Sending IP is noted

MAIL FROM domain is noted

Performs DNS lookup TXT with
v=SPF1 MAIL FROM domain

Passes check when Sending IP
matches with SPF

v=spf1 ip4:10.20.30.40 -all



SPF TXT record syntax

v=spf1 a:mailserver.nl ip4:123.12.254.254 include:_spf.mail.nl -all

Match	
IP4	Ipv4 address or range
IP6	Ipv6 address or range
A	DNS A records for domain
MX	DNS MX records for domain
INCLUDE	Include spf of other domain
ALL	Always matches (catch all).

Action	
+	Pass (default, can be omitted)
-	Fail
~	Softfail
?	Neutral

DKIM flow

Sender
Contoso.com



Receiver
Fabrikam.com

Adds hashes of headers &
body with private key MSFT

Checks DKIM addition including
used key from contoso.com

In headers

>d=contoso.com selector=MSFT

Performs DNS lookup to
MSFT._domainkey.contoso.com

Checks hashes in received mail
with p=###


V=DKIM1 p=###



FQDN	CNAME Value
selector1._domainkey.contoso.com	selector1-contoso-com._domainkey.contoso.onmicrosoft.com.

v=DKIM1; k=rsa;
p=MIGfMA0GCSqGSIb2DQEBAQUAA4GNADCBiQKBgQDL1xw0fG6
C0rqT14zUenYz4fbYC0JYq6SwyntswYUebqYfmo9zlGZp+tJo4sEFll9oI
K3pH0xCN5dIIsIYG5APhDsqNlelQ6VzX16uZxPKjd7EL11Z3ii/CxX1EtL
CF6CCOgQ1JmS0zps1+6/Xu+DonulN9pfJPk4V4iTsjANMkwIDAQAB
;n=1024,1453500350,1

DMARC flow




v=DMARC1; p=quarantine; sp=reject; rua=mailto:rua@contoso.com;
ruf=mailto:ruf@contoso.com; fo=1; pct=50

TXT record on _dmarc.contoso.com

Tag	Short description	Value	Required?/default
V	Protocol version, for now its version 1	DMARC1	Required
P	Policy for organizational domain	None, Quarantine, Reject	Required
SP	Policy for subdomains of the organizational domain	None, Quarantine, Reject	Optional, if not explicitly defined SP is same as P
PCT	Percentage of messages subjected to filtering	0-100	Optional (default is 100)
FO	Reporting options	0,1,d,s	Optional
RUF	For reporting of forensic reports	Mail address	Optional (Required if FO= is used)
RUA	For reporting of aggregate reports	Mail address	Optional

Forwarding mail

EHLO contoso.com
MAIL FROM: dave@contoso.com
RCPT TO: group@fabrikam.com
From: dave@contoso.com



dave@contoso.com



group@fabrikam.com



EHLO fabrikam.com
MAIL FROM: dave@contoso.com 
RCPT TO: willem@wingtoys.com
From: dave@contoso.com



willem@wingtoys.com

Mitigation for SPF in **Fabrikam** via Sender Rewriting Scheme
bounces+SRS=#as#=12000000=contoso.com=dave@**fabrikam.co**
m

Microsoft Partner

Rubicon

Forwarding mail

EHLO contoso.com
MAIL FROM: dave@contoso.com
RCPT TO: group@fabrikam.com
From: dave@contoso.com



group@fabrikam.com

Adds ARC-Seal to mails



dave@contoso.com

EHLO fabrikam.com
MAIL FROM:
**bounces+SRS ..
dave@fabrikam.com**
RCPT TO: willem@wingtoys.com
From: dave@contoso.com



Trusts fabrikam
as ARC
intermediary



willem@wingtoys.com

SRS fixes SPF checks, but still fails DKIM and DMARC checks

MTA-STS flow

Sender
Contoso.com



Receiver
Fabrikam.com

Performs MTA-STS record lookup
at `_mta-sts.fabrikam.com`

`v=STSV1; id=123456`

Retrieves MTA-STS policy file

`https://mta-sts.fabrikam.com/.well-known/mta-sts.txt`

Connects to server from MX
with STARTTLS

`mail.fabrikam.com`

Compares STARTTLS certificate
name with policy

Mailserver presents certificate

Sends mail when match

DANE flow (simplified)

